1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

IN RE: SOCIAL MEDIA ADOLESCENT ADDICTION/PERSONAL INJURY PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION	Case No. 4:22-MD-03047-YGR
	MDL No. 3047
This Document Relates to:	**[PROPOSED] ORDER RE PLAINTIFFS' ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE UNDER SEAL EXHIBITS TO THE DECLARATION OF JENNIE LEE ANDERSON IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' NINTH CONSOLIDATED *EX PARTE* APPLICATION FOR APPOINTMENT OF GUARDIANS *AD LITEM***

S.P., filed on behalf of minor A.P. v. Meta Platforms, Inc., et al., 4:24-cv-01817

S.L. on behalf of K.A. v. Snap, Inc., et al., 4:24-cv-01868

S.G. individually and on behalf of C.G. v. YouTube, LLC, et al., 4:24-cv-01843

[Proposed] Order Re. Plaintiffs' Admin Motion to File Under Seal

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Before the Court is Plaintiffs' Administrative Motion to File Under Seal Exhibits to the Declaration of Jennie Lee Anderson in Support of Plaintiffs' Ninth Consolidated Ex *Parte* Application for Appointment of Guardians *Ad Litem* (hereinafter "Anderson Declaration in Support of Ninth Application for Guardians *Ad Litem*).

The Court has reviewed Plaintiffs' Administrative Motion and the declaration in support thereof. Finding that Plaintiffs have articulated good cause to seal the individual *Ex Parte* Applications for Appointments of Guardian *Ad Litem* ("Application") attached as Exhibits 1-3 to the Anderson Declaration in Support of Ninth Application for Guardians *Ad Litem*, the Court hereby orders that the following document shall remain under seal absent further Order of the Court:

Material/Document	Portion Requested to Keep Under Seal	Ruling
Exhibit 1 - Application for *S.P., filed on behalf of minor A.P.., et al.*, 4:24-cv-01817	Entire Application/ Exhibit	___ Granted ___ Denied
Exhibit 2 – Application for *S.L. on behalf of K.A. v. Snap Inc., et al.,* 4:24-cv-01868	Entire Application/ Exhibit	___ Granted ___ Denied
Exhibit 3 – Application for *S.G. individually and on behalf of C.G. v. YouTube, LLC, et al.,* 4:24-cv-01843	Entire Application/ Exhibit	___ Granted ___ Denied

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: _____

_____
Hon. Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE